

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,192	10/13/2005	Masayuki Sakata	Q90259	2211
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 04/08/200 HON PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BATISTA, MARCOS	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,192	SAKATA, MASAYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARCOS BATISTA	2617	

	MINITOGO BITTIOTIT	2017						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further cor 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
1D (1D)								
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's argument regarding that the cited reference of Fiter et al. (WO 02/18219 A2) not teaching or suggesting "a radio controller... physically separated into control plane equipment... and user plane equipment," the cited reference of Fiter clearly teaches a radio controller physically separated into control plane and user plane equipment. Fiter, at figures 1 and 2, page 6 lines 31-35, page 7 lines 1-35 shows a radio access network which comprises first nodes (DRS) and second nodes (RCS). The first nodes handle the user plane and the second nodes handle the control planes. These nodes perform different functions of the radio access network and as shown by figure 1 are connected by the dash lines, therefore they are physically separated from each other.